

MISO Resource Adequacy Seasonal Construct Filing Overview

AES Indiana's Public Advisory Meeting

June 27, 2022

The MISO resource adequacy construct establishes planning requirements, assesses accredited resource value, and helps provide visibility into local and regional capacity sufficiency

| Requirements | Accreditation | Visibility |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| What is needed for reliability | How resources are counted, including thermal and non- thermal resources | Portfolio trends in short-term and long-term, including resource retirements and investments Planning Resource Auction |
| | | OMS-MISO Survey Seasonal Assessments Regional Resource Assessment |



Changing resource portfolio with rapid growth of intermittent resources drives continued risk profile shifts and an increased need for Resource Adequacy reforms to address reliability imperative





Recently filed reforms to the Resource Adequacy construct will help address today's reliability challenges and prepare for the future

| | Current Annual Construct | Filed Sub-annual Construct Proposal |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| RA Requirements | MISO performs annual LOLE analysis to determine <u>annual resource adequacy</u> <u>requirements</u> . | MISO will calculate <u>4 distinct sub-annual resource</u> adequacy requirements on a seasonal basis. |
| Resource Accreditation | MISO <u>accredits conventional resources</u> <u>annually based on a 3-year forced outage rate</u> , excluding planned outages and other exceptions. | MISO will <u>accredit by season based on resource's</u> availability (SAC) to align resource accreditation with availability in the highest risk periods. |
| Planning Resource Auction | MISO <u>conducts annual Planning Resource</u> <u>Auction</u> to meet annual resource adequacy requirements. | MISO will <u>conduct independent auctions for all</u> seasons at one time to meet seasonal resource adequacy requirements and will require <u>a Minimur</u> Capacity Obligation (MCO) prior to the auction. |

LOLE = Loss of Load Expectation | UCAP = Unforced Capacity | SAC = Seasonal Accredited Capacity Outages¹ includes forced and planned outages, or de-rates reported in the MISO Outage Scheduler.



Varying seasonal requirements across the year with availability-based accreditation recognizes different needs during times of the year



Seasonal Planning Reserve Margin Requirement
Seasonal Accredited Capacity Excess



MISO conducts annual Effective Load Carrying Capability analysis to determine capacity values for wind resources

Effective load carrying capability (ELCC): The amount of incremental load a resource can dependably and reliably serve, while considering the probabilistic nature of generation shortfalls and random forced outages as driving factors to load not being served^{*}

- Leverages probabilistic methods
- Accounts for uncertainties that affect resource's availability
- Requires numerous years of historical weather data
- Renewable hourly profiles





MISO is pursuing further renewable accreditation enhancements post Seasonal alignment for non-thermal resources proposed in 2021 RAN filing

| Resource Category | Current Annual Accreditation | Seasonal Accreditation proposed in 2021 RAN filing | Further Enhancements currently underway Post- Filing |
|---|---|---|---|
| Wind | Annual ELCC and then allocate to individual wind resources based on performance over 8 peak summer days per year | allocate to individual wind resources based on performance over 8 peak days per season Evaluate ELC | Evaluate ELCC methodology |
| Non-wind intermittent resources, including solar | Three-year, historical availability-based hours 15,16,17 EST from June to August | Three-year, historical availability-based hours 15,16,17 EST for spring, summer and fall. Hours 8, 9, 19, 20 EST for winter | along with other availability- based accreditation approaches |





Contact Information

Lynn Hecker https://www.initeduction.org Lynn Hecker https://www.initeduction.org

MISO utilizes enhanced modeling assumptions to better capture risks across the year

| | High-Fidelity Modeling | Purpose |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| Intermittent Resources | Hourly 8760 wind and solar profiles for 30 weather years vs. monthly fixed ELCC value | Better reflect wind and solar actual availability and variability throughout the year |
| Non-Firm External Support | Probability distribution modeling based on historical hourly non-firm external support during emergency pricing hours for the last 4 years vs. annual fixed value | Better capture variability of non-firm external support across the year and reflect as a probability, similar to load and weather |
| Forced Outage Rates | Seasonal outage rates and cold weather adjustments across the year | Better capture seasonality of outage rates and correlation with temperature |
| Planned Outages | Flexible planned outage scheduling | Better align modeled and actual planned outages to capture flexibility of rescheduling planned outages |



Effective Load Carrying Capability (ELCC) is calculated by conducting and comparing two LOLE simulations with and without the resource technology

- Effective load carrying capability (ELCC): the amount of incremental load a resource can dependably and reliably serve, while considering the probabilistic nature of generation shortfalls and random forced outages as driving factors to load not being served *
- To measure ELCC of a particular resource, isolate its reliability effects by calculating the loss of load expectation (LOLE)* of two different cases: one "with" and one "without" the resource
- In the context of having multiple nonthermal technologies on the system, the individual technology approach may under/ over-credit, but the approach is currently in use
- When more than one non-thermal technology exists, the ELCC methodology is adjusted to account for the interactions and technology diversity benefits



